

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,482	11/10/2003	John A. Benaglio	7334-0003-2	5153
75	90 05/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Carmody & Torrance LLP			BAREFORD, KATHERINE A	
50 Leavenworth	Street			
P O Box 1110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Waterbury, CT 06721-1110			1762	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/705,482	BENAGLIO ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (and the compliance of time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	evidence, which places the e with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI r).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	hs of the date of filing the Notice of of the appeal. Since a Notice of
AMENDMENTS		
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amendment canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , ,	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
13. 🗀 Ottler		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to claims 1 and 5 as to the requirements of the first and second coating guns and their use (sections (c) and (g) of claim 1 and sections (c) and (h) of claim 5) raise new issues as to how the guns are positioned and used that was not previously required by the claims and therefore would require further consideration and/or search by the Examiner. Also, the amendments to claims 3 and 4, to cancel claim number "2" and replace it with claim number "1" at line 1, so that the claims depend from claim 1 are confusing, as claims 3 and 4 as provided by the amendment of Feb. 4, 2005 both depend from claim 1 already.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are adressed to the claims as proposed to be amended. As the amendment is not entered, the claims remain rejected for the reasons given in the Final Rejecton.

KATHERINE BARBFORE PRIMARY EXAMINER